

1 As the Ninth Circuit recently put it, "Cooke was unequivocal in holding
2 that if an inmate seeking parole receives an opportunity to be heard, a notification
3 of the reasons as to denial of parole, and access to their records in advance, '[t]hat
4 should . . . be [] the beginning and the end of [the] inquiry into whether [the
5 inmate] received due process.'" Pearson v. Muntz, No. 08-55728, 2011 WL
6 12388007, at *5 (9th Cir. Apr. 5, 2011) (quoting Cooke, 131 S. Ct. at 862).
7 Because petitioner has not questioned whether those procedures were provided,
8 this court's inquiry "is at its end." Id.

9 For the foregoing reasons, the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is
10 DISMISSED. And pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254
11 Cases, a certificate of appealability (COA) under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) is DENIED
12 because it cannot be said that "reasonable jurists would find the district court's
13 assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel,
14 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

15 The clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this order, terminate all
16 pending motions (see docket # 5) as moot and close the file.

17 SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: May 11, 2011

19 
20 _____
21 CHARLES R. BREYER
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28